

Research Article

Investigation of Petroleum Exploration Pollution on Soil Fertility Around Yorla Flow Station in Kpean, Khana Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria

Lesor Ikeh* , Odunna Evans Chibuzor 

Department of Petroleum and Gas Engineering, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Abstract

Spill sites, the proximity of workshops and flow stations to various ecosystems, coupled with the improper handling and disposal of waste oil, and has led to the inadvertent release of petroleum hydrocarbons into the surrounding soil. This contamination poses a significant environmental challenge as it has the potential to disrupt soil fertility dynamics, including nutrient availability, microbial activity, and overall ecosystem health. The aim of this work is to ascertain the effect of petroleum level (waste oil) on soil fertility using YORLA OIL LOCATIONS in Kpean, Khana Local Government Area of Rivers State as a case study. Two sites, Yorla Location-1, YL-LC 1 and Yorla Location-2, YL-LC 2 were assessed by collecting soil samples from different points at depths of 0.10m and 0.25m respectively and analyzed for Ammonium, Nitrate, Sulphate, and Soil Carbonate. However, experimental results shows varying values of each parameter as compared to the control sample taken 100m away from spill site. Highest TPH values for site YL-LC 1 (6232 mg/kg) and YL-LC 2 (6648 mg/kg) clearly overshoots the EGASPIN standards. Nitrate and Ammonium levels were significantly lower at all contaminated points compared to the control, indicating a consistent disruption of nitrogen cycling. Consequently, YL-LC 1 displayed elevated iron levels, indicative of potential anaerobic conditions caused by pollution, while YL-LC 2 exhibited varying manganese levels and site-specific fluctuations in potassium and calcium. This implies that the soil health has been tampered with as a result of the oil spill and remediation must be done to restore the soil to its origin state.

Keywords

Oil Pollution, Fertility, Ecosystem, Contaminations, Nutrients

1. Introduction

The rapid industrialization and increased reliance on petroleum-based products have led to the widespread release of hydrocarbons into the environment, causing soil contamination and subsequently impacting soil fertility. The proximity of workshops and flow stations to various ecosystems makes them potential sources of petroleum pollution, as these sites

often handle large quantities of oil and produce waste oil that can find its way into the surrounding soil.

The petroleum industry plays a crucial role in global economic development, energy production, and transportation [1]. However, the improper handling, storage, and disposal of petroleum and its derivatives have led to inadvertent spillage

*Corresponding author: lesor.ikeh@yahoo.com (Lesor Ikeh)

Received: 21 May 2025; Accepted: 11 June 2025; Published: 30 June 2025



Copyright: © The Author(s), 2025. Published by Science Publishing Group. This is an **Open Access** article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and leakage, resulting in soil contamination. This contamination, in turn, has the potential to disrupt soil fertility dynamics, affecting nutrient availability, microbial activity, and overall ecosystem health [2].

Numerous studies [1, 2] have documented the adverse effects of petroleum contamination on soil fertility. Hydrocarbons present in petroleum can alter soil properties by reducing water-holding capacity, affecting soil structure, and inhibiting microbial growth. These changes may lead to decreased nutrient availability, hinder plant growth, and ultimately disrupt the balance of the local ecosystem. Furthermore, petroleum hydrocarbons can introduce toxic elements and compounds into the soil, further exacerbating the degradation of soil fertility.

A comprehensive understanding of the impact of petroleum contamination on soil fertility in the context of workshops and flow stations is essential for effective environmental management and sustainable development. Previous research has highlighted the importance of studying soil properties such as pH, organic matter content, nutrient levels, and microbial activity in contaminated areas. By investigating the interactions between petroleum levels and soil fertility indicators, researchers can contribute to the development of appropriate mitigation and remediation strategies.

Smith et al. [1] demonstrated that petroleum-contaminated soils exhibited reduced microbial biomass and enzymatic activity, leading to decreased nutrient cycling and availability. Similarly, [2] indicated that high levels of petroleum contamination were associated with decreased soil pH and disrupted nutrient equilibrium. These findings emphasize the need for a comprehensive investigation into the effects of petroleum contamination on soil fertility within the specific context of workshops and flow stations.

2. Petroleum Contamination and Its Environmental Impact

Petroleum contamination constitutes a multifaceted and significant environmental challenge, emanating from an intricate interplay of human activities and natural phenomena [3, 4]. Petroleum, an intricate mixture of hydrocarbons and diverse organic compounds, serves as a pivotal cornerstone in global energy production, transportation, and industrial processes [5, 6]. However, the inappropriate release of petroleum into the environment precipitates a pervasive contamination of soil, water, and air, with profound ecological consequences that cascade through intricate ecosystems and exert far-reaching impacts on human health [7, 8]. The origins of petroleum contamination are multifarious, ranging from catastrophic oil spills to the gradual seepage from underground storage facilities [9, 10]. These sources and their various mechanisms of release engender a network of pathways that disseminate petroleum compounds into diverse ecosystems, including terrestrial, aquatic, and coastal landscapes [11, 12]. Coastal regions, for

instance, are vulnerable to oil spills resulting from maritime accidents, initiating a sequence of contamination that not only compromises terrestrial habitats but also infiltrates marine ecosystems due to the buoyant properties of oil, resulting in grave consequences for the ecological balance of these systems.

2.1. Effects on Soil Fertility and Nutrient Cycling

The infiltration of petroleum hydrocarbons into soil has the potential to unhone fundamental ecological processes, specifically nutrient cycling and availability [13, 14]. Petroleum constituents, upon entering soil matrices, can bring about structural modifications that diminish porosity and water retention capacity [15, 16]. These alterations subsequently affect parameters such as plant-rooting depth and nutrient absorption. Furthermore, petroleum's toxicity exerts a detrimental influence on microbial communities and enzymatic functionality, culminating in diminished rates of organic matter decomposition, nutrient mineralization, and nitrogen fixation [17]. The outcome is a perturbed soil fertility regime that exerts cascading repercussions on plant growth and overall ecosystem productivity.

2.2. Bioaccumulation and Trophic Transfer

A consequential aftermath of petroleum contamination lies in its potential to infiltrate and disrupt the trophic structure, leading to bioaccumulation within food chains [18, 19]. Bioaccumulation engenders a phenomenon where organisms lower down the trophic hierarchy accumulate contaminants, which are then progressively transferred to predators occupying higher trophic levels [20]. In soil ecosystems, this intricate process materializes through the bioaccumulation of petroleum compounds in plants and soil-dwelling organisms. The ramifications of this phenomenon cascade through the food chain, ultimately impacting wildlife and even humans who may be exposed to these contaminants through the consumption of contaminated produce, direct interaction with contaminated soil, or consumption of animals that have ingested contaminated organisms.

2.3. Long-Term Residual Effects and Remediation Challenges

Distinctive to petroleum contamination is its enduring presence in the environment, characterized by a protracted persistence of hydrocarbon compounds within soil matrices [21]. The prolonged residence of these contaminants is compounded by their susceptibility to weathering processes, which may alter their composition and behavior over time [22]. This persistence in the environment presents a formidable challenge for remediation endeavors, as conventional methods, such as bioremediation, phytoremediation, and chemical treatments, must grapple with the intricate interplay between contaminants, soil attributes, and

microbial communities.

Petroleum contamination engenders a multifaceted and intricate ecological quandary with sweeping ramifications for soil fertility, nutrient dynamics, trophic interactions, and the overall health of ecosystems [23]. Through an extensive understanding of the diverse avenues, consequences, and hurdles associated with petroleum contamination, researchers and policymakers are poised to formulate judicious strategies that mitigate its deleterious effects and engender sustainable environmental stewardship.

3. Effects of Petroleum on Soil Fertility and Nutrient Availability

The effects of petroleum on soil fertility and nutrient availability represent a crucial aspect of environmental contamination, warranting a comprehensive investigation to unravel the intricate mechanisms underlying these perturbations. Petroleum, composed of complex hydrocarbon compounds, poses significant challenges to soil ecosystems, as its introduction can lead to a cascade of alterations in soil properties, nutrient dynamics, and microbial interactions [24].

3.1. Alterations in Soil Structure and Physical Properties

Upon contact with petroleum, soil undergoes structural modifications that can impair its physical properties [25, 26]. Hydrocarbon infiltration can lead to reduced soil porosity, impeding water movement and air exchange within the soil matrix. Consequently, soil aeration and water-holding capacity may decline, influencing root penetration and the accessibility of nutrients to plants. This altered soil structure can hinder root growth and compromise the efficient uptake of essential nutrients, ultimately affecting plant health and growth [27].

3.2. Nutrient Imbalances and Availability Constraints

Petroleum contamination can result in imbalances in nutrient availability, disrupting the intricate cycling of essential elements [28]. The presence of hydrocarbons can impact soil pH, leading to its alteration and affecting the solubility and availability of various nutrients. Additionally, petroleum compounds can directly interfere with nutrient absorption processes in plant roots, leading to deficiencies in vital elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. This disruption in nutrient dynamics can stifle plant growth and compromise overall ecosystem productivity [29].

3.3. Microbial Community Disruption and Nutrient Cycling

The intricate balance of soil fertility is intricately linked to

microbial communities that play a pivotal role in nutrient cycling and organic matter decomposition [30]. Petroleum contamination can exert toxic effects on soil microorganisms, leading to a reduction in microbial biomass and diversity. This disruption in microbial activity hampers essential nutrient cycling processes, such as nitrogen fixation and nutrient mineralization. As a result, the availability of key nutrients for plant uptake is compromised, contributing to diminished plant growth and altered soil fertility [31].

3.4. Indirect Effects on Plant Growth and Ecosystem Functionality

The ramifications of petroleum-induced alterations in soil fertility extend beyond nutrient availability, affecting plant growth and ecosystem functionality [32]. Impaired nutrient uptake and microbial activity can lead to reduced plant biomass and altered community composition. This, in turn, affects vegetation dynamics, with potential cascading effects on herbivores, predators, and the overall trophic structure. Moreover, reduced plant cover can exacerbate soil erosion, further depleting nutrient-rich topsoil and perpetuating a cycle of compromised soil fertility [33].

4. Soil Remediation Techniques and Their Effectiveness

4.1. Bioremediation

Bioremediation leverages the metabolic capabilities of microorganisms to degrade or transform contaminants, making it a promising and environmentally friendly approach [24]. Techniques such as bioaugmentation and biostimulation involve introducing specific microorganisms or enhancing native microbial populations to accelerate the breakdown of pollutants. While bioremediation has shown success in treating petroleum hydrocarbons through microbial degradation, its effectiveness can be influenced by factors such as soil properties, contaminant types, and climatic conditions [34].




Figure 1. Microbial Degradation in Bioremediation [34].

4.2. Phytoremediation

Phytoremediation capitalizes on the inherent capabilities of plants to uptake, accumulate, and detoxify contaminants from the soil [24, 25]. Different mechanisms, including phytoextraction, phytostabilization, and rhizodegradation, can be employed to target a spectrum of pollutants. Certain plant

species possess the capacity to hyperaccumulate metals, while others enhance microbial activity in the rhizosphere to facilitate degradation of organic pollutants. However, the effectiveness of phytoremediation is contingent upon factors such as plant selection, contaminant concentrations, and soil characteristics [24].




Figure 2. Phytoextraction of Heavy Metals [24].

4.3. Chemical Remediation

Chemical methods involve the application of amendments to alter soil properties or form insoluble complexes with contaminants, thereby reducing their mobility and bioavailability [28]. Techniques like soil washing, chemical precipitation, and ion exchange target specific pollutants and can be effective in treating both organic and inorganic contaminants. However, these methods may lead to unintended consequences such as changes in soil pH or the creation of secondary pollutants, necessitating careful consideration of their

long-term impacts [30].

4.4. Thermal Remediation

Thermal methods involve the application of heat to volatilize or thermally degrade contaminants, offering efficient removal pollutants [8]. Techniques like soil vapor extraction and thermal desorption can be applied to remove volatile organic compounds and some semi-volatile contaminants. However, the high-energy requirements and potential soil sterilization effects limit the widespread applicability of thermal remediation methods [1].




Figure 3. Thermal Desorption in Soil Remediation [8].

4.5. Electrokinetic Remediation

Electrokinetic methods involve the application of electric currents to facilitate the movement of contaminants within the soil, allowing for their targeted removal or containment [32]. Electrokinetic techniques, such as electroosmosis and electromigration, can enhance the migration of ions and pollutants towards electrodes for subsequent extraction. While this approach can be effective for certain contaminants, its success is influenced by factors like soil conductivity and moisture content [17].




Figure 4. Electrokinetic Remediation Process [17].

Achieving optimal outcomes in soil remediation hinges on a variety of factors, ranging from environmental conditions and contaminated substances to soil properties. Though each remediation method presents distinct benefits and drawbacks, a customized and all-encompassing approach is necessary. It is through integrating insights from diverse remedies that practitioners and researchers can create tailored solutions that promote sustainable land management and assure the well-being of ecosystems in regions impaired by flow stations and workshops.

5. Materials and Methodology

The materials and techniques employed to investigate the impact of oil pollution on soil fertility in the vicinity of a spill sites around Yorla flow station are summarized below.

5.1. Study Area and Site Selection

The spill sites, YL-LC 1 and YL-LC 2 located in Kpean, Khana local government area of Rivers state, Niger Delta were chosen for this work because of the impact of oil exploration. The surrounding environment features a vast farm land before the spill. These specific sites boasts a history of oil pollution from oil Company, proximity to agricultural land and representative soil type. The chosen locations also allows for a controlled and focused investigation of the impact of oil pollution on soil fertility, minimizing confounding variables and ensuring the findings are directly applicable to similar environments.

5.2. Sampling and Data Collection

To ensure a representative and unbiased collection of soil samples, a random sample collection was done from three points. Soil samples were taken at a depth of 0.15m and 0.30m using a calibrated hand auger. Sampling occurred once drilling was done, enabling observation of the immediate effects of waste oil pollution. Collected soil samples were meticulously handled to preserve their integrity. They were stored in sterile containers, labeled appropriately, and transported to Laboratory for analysis. A comprehensive suite of tests were conducted to assess key fertility parameters such as; Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH), nutrient content, Anions and Cations, and Heavy metals.

5.3. Procedures

Ammonium

About 10g of dried soil sample was weighed, thereafter 50ml of sodium acetate was added. The required sample were stirred thoroughly for an hour at 120rpm. The sample was then filtered and 10ml of the filtrate were measured into a conical flask with 1ml of Nessler reagent added. The sample was allowed to stand for 10 mins, thereby taking the readings at 425nm in the UV. The Absorbance was calculated as follows:

$$ABs \times CF \times DF$$

Where Abs = Absorbance

CF = Cumulative Factor

DF = Dilution Factor (ml of extractant used / Gram of sample weighed)

CF= 8.79

Procedures for Total Organic Carbon: The Black method was used to determine total organic carbon content. One gram (1 g) of sample soil was added to two separate flasks containing 10ml of 1 N KCr, O, solution. The mixture was gently stirred to disperse the sample in the solution. Twenty milliliters (20 ml) of H, SO and 100 ml of distilled water were added

to each flask after 30 minutes. Four (4) drops of ferroin (the indicator) was added to the solution. The solution was titrated with 0.5 N ferrous sulphate solution. Blank solution (solution without the soil sample was also prepared and titrated with 0.5 N ferrous sulphate solution.

6. Results and Discussion

The results obtained from experiments and analysis carried out during the investigation at spill sites are presented as below:

6.1. Results for Site Location-1 (YL-LC 1)

The results obtained during the investigations of the effect of oil pollution on soil fertility at two different locations in Yorla, Kpean in Khana Local government area of Rivers State are presented and discussed.

Tables 1 and 2, and Figures 5-8 presented the results obtained at site location A. In Table 1, Ammonia and Fe²⁺ levels were consistently lower at oil-contaminated points compared to the control, suggesting potential inhibition of nitrification processes. This however, could lead to nitrogen deficiencies for plant growth

Table 1. Results from site Location-1, (YL-LC 1) for Ammonia and Iron, Fe²⁺.

	Ammonia			
	0.15m	0.30m	0.15m	0.30m
Control	31	37	3694	3317
Point 1	17.43	16.71	787.81	902.1
Point 2	6.83	5.02	3676	3373
Point 3	22.07	27.89	2122	2008

Table 2. Results from site Location -1 (YL-LC 1) for TPH and Total Organic Carbon.

	TPH		Total Organic Carbon	
	0.15m	0.30m	0.15m	0.30m
Control	56.32	72.11	18.66	19.25
Point 1	5631	6232	10.28	11.63
Point 2	4762	3845	14.21	14.73
Point 3	2785	3421	17.02	17.49




Figure 5. Ammonium results for YL-LC 1 at 0.15m and 0.30m respectively.




Figure 6. Fe²⁺ results for site YL-LC 1 at 0.15m and 0.30m respectively.

From Figure 8, it is seen that TPH levels were observed at contaminated points, potentially indicating disrupted phosphorus cycling or adsorption onto oil residues. This implies that limited phosphate availability could hinder plant nutrient uptake. Similarly, Figure 8 shown Total Organic Content (TOC) levels were variable, while calcium levels were lower at contaminated points, but not as consistently as other nutrients.

The observed variations in contaminant levels and nutrient concentrations across different points and depths highlight the

spatial heterogeneity of oil pollution. This underscores the importance of thorough site characterization for effective remediation strategies. Hence, understanding the specific impacts of oil contamination on soil fertility parameters is crucial for designing appropriate remediation measures. This may include nutrient amendments, bioremediation approaches, or other techniques to restore soil health and functionality.




Figure 7. TPH results for YL-LC 1 at 0.15m and 0.30m respectively.




Figure 8. TOC Results for YL-LC 1 at 0.15m and LC-A 0.30 m.

6.2. Results for Site Location-2 (YL-LC 2)

The results obtained at Site Location 2 (YL-LC 2) are shown in Tables 3 and 4, and Figures 9-12 respectively. In Figure 9 and Figure 10, it is observed that Ammonia and Fe^{2+} levels were significantly lower at all contaminated points compared to the control, indicating a consistent disruption of nitrogen cycling, likely due to waste oil inhibiting nitrification processes. Similarly, TPH levels (Figure 11) showed a mixed pattern. Point 1 had similar levels to the control, while points 2 and 3 showed marked reductions. This however, suggests a variable impact of oil contamination on TPH availability. In Figure 12, Total oil content (TOC) levels were generally

lower at contaminated points, but not as consistently as Ammonia and Fe^{2+} . Total Oil Content (TOC) levels were also lower at points 2 and 3, suggesting potential impacts on soil structure and nutrient balance.

However, the observed variations in contaminant levels and nutrient concentrations across different points and depths reflect the heterogeneity of oil pollution within Site Location 2 (YL-LC 2). This underscores the need for detailed site characterization to guide remediation efforts. The specific impacts of oil pollution on soil fertility parameters at Site (YL-LC 2) highlight the importance of designing remediation strategies that address nutrient deficiencies, promote microbial activity, and potentially include bioremediation approaches to degrade hydrocarbons and restore soil health.

Table 3. Results from LC-B for Ammonia and Iron, Fe^{2+} .

	Ammonia		Iron, Fe^{2+}	
	0.15m	0.30m	0.15m	0.30m
Control	31	37	3694	3317
Point 1	14.55	13.72	882.64	1006
Point 2	19.48	18.02	2678	2979
Point 3	19.97	24.63	1527	943

Table 4. Results from LC-B for THP and Total organic carbon.

	TPH		Total Organic Carbon	
	0.15m	0.30m	0.15m	0.30m
Control	56.32	72.11	18.66	19.25
Point 1	4649	5003	12.49	13.02
Point 2	6648	5412	14.19	14.96

	TPH		Total Organic Carbon	
	0.15m	0.30m	0.15m	0.30m
Point 3	5434	4901	17.68	18.17




Figure 9. Ammonium results for YL-LC 2 at 0.15m and 0.30m.




Figure 10. Fe²⁺ results for YL-LC 2 at 0.15m and 0.30m.




Figure 11. TPH results for YL-LC 2 at 0.15m and 0.30m.




Figure 12. TOC results for YL-LC 2 at 0.15m and 0.30m.

6.3. Discussion

The investigation into the impact of oil pollution on soil fertility at site Location 1 (YL-LC-1) and site Location 2 (YL-LC-2) revealed both shared and distinct patterns, painting a complex picture of how this environmental contaminant interacts with the soil ecosystem. A prominent theme across both sites was the depletion of key nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus in oil-contaminated soil. This suggests a disruption in natural nutrient cycling processes, potentially due to the inhibitory effects of waste oil on microbial activity.

The differences observed between sites *YL-LC 1* and *YL-LC 2* highlight the importance of site-specific approaches to remediation. While some general principles such as addressing nutrient deficiencies and promoting microbial activity might apply across the board, the specific strategies employed should be tailored to the unique characteristics and challenges of each site. For instance, addressing anaerobic conditions at YL-LC 1 might require aeration techniques, while at YL-LC 2 might benefit from targeted interventions to address specific nutrient imbalances. Hence, this paper provides valuable insights into the impact of waste oil pollution on soil fertility.

7. Conclusions

The investigation into the impact of oil pollution on soil fertility at two different sites, YL-LC 1 and YL-LC 2 yielded a rich findings, revealing both shared consequences and the impact. Across both sites, the depletion of crucial nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potentially potassium in oil-contaminated soil is observed. This suggests a disruption in natural biogeochemical cycles, likely due to waste oil inhibiting microbial activity and altering soil chemistry. However, the elevated levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons at all contaminated points confirmed the presence of significant oil pollution, raising concerns about potential toxicity and long-term environmental impacts on soil health and function.

While both sites grappled with nutrient depletion and hydrocarbon presence, distinct narratives unfolded upon closer

examination. Site YL-LC 1 witnessed elevated iron (Fe^{2+}) levels at certain points, pointing toward potential anaerobic conditions induced by oil pollution. This phenomenon wasn't as evident at Site YL-LC 2, where manganese levels displayed a more variable pattern. Additionally, fluctuations in potassium and calcium levels differed between the sites, suggesting that the specific impacts of oil on these nutrients might be influenced by local soil characteristics or other environmental factors.

The observed differences between Sites YL-LC 1 and YL-LC 2 underscore the critical need for site-specific approaches to remediation. While some general principles, such as addressing nutrient deficiencies and promoting microbial activity might apply across the board, addressing anaerobic conditions at Site YL-LC 1 might require aeration techniques, while Site YL-LC 2 might benefit from targeted interventions to address specific nutrient imbalances.

Abbreviations

YL-LC 1	Yorla- Location 1
YL-LC 2	Yorla – Location 2
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TPH	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon
CF	Cumulative Factor
DF	Dilution Factor
EGASPIN	Environmental Guidelines and Standards for the Petroleum Industry in Nigeria

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the staff of the Department of Petroleum and Gas Engineering, University of Port Harcourt for providing some of the laboratory equipment for this work.

Author Contributions

Lesor Ikeh: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft

Oduonna Evans Chibuzor: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Smith, M. J., Flowers, T. H., Duncan, H. J., & Alder, J. (2006). Effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on germination and subsequent growth of grasses and legumes in freshly contaminated soil and soil with aged PAHs residues.
- [2] Williams, P. A.; Jones, R. M.; Shaw, L. E. A third transposable element, ISPpu12, from the toluene-xylene catabolic plasmid pWW0 of *Pseudomonas putida* mt-2. *J. Bacteriol.* 2002, 184, 6572–6580.
- [3] Daniel O. B. Jones, Andrew R. Gates, Veerle A. I. Huvenne, Alexander B. Phillips, Brian J. Bett: Autonomous marine environmental monitoring: Application in decommissioned oil fields, *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 668, 10 June 2019, Pages 835-853.
- [4] Steven A. Murawski, Martin Grosell, Cynthia Smith, Tracey Sutton, Kenneth M. Halanych, Richard F. Shaw, and Charles A. Wilson. 2021. Impacts of petroleum, petroleum components, and dispersants on organisms and populations. *Oceanography*, (1): 136 -151.
- [5] L. D. Brown, D. L. Cologgi, K. F. Gee, A. C. Ulrich: Bioremediation of Oil Spills on Land, *Journal of Oil Spill Science and Technology*, Chapter 12.
- [6] Gebregiorgis Ambaye, Alif Chebbi, Francesca Formicola, Shiv Prasad, Franco Hernan Gomez, Andrea Franzetti, Mentore Vaccari: Remediation of soil polluted with petroleum hydrocarbons and its reuse for agriculture: Recent progress, challenges, and perspectives, *Journal of Chemosphere*, Volume 293, April 2022, 133572.
- [7] Achuba, F. I. (2006). The effect of sublethal concentrations of crude oil on the growth and metabolism of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) seedlings. *African Journal*.
- [8] Adam, G., & Duncan, H. J. (2002). Influence of Diesel Fuel on Seed Germination. *Environmental Pollution*.
- [9] Brandy, N. C., & Weil, R. R. (2002). *The Nature and Properties of Soil* (13th ed.). Prentice Hall, New York.
- [10] Department of Petroleum Resources, DPR (1997). Annual Report.
- [11] Dawn Walls-Thumma, (2000). How does pH level affect the plant growth? Retrieved from <www.scienceproject.com> on October 9, 2013.
- [12] Ekundayo, E. O., & Obuekwe, C. O. (1997). Effects of an oil spill on soil physico-chemical properties of a spill site in atypical Displacement of Midwestern Nigeria. *Environmental Assessment*, 45.
- [13] Egbe, R. E. (2010). Environmental Challenges of Oil Spillage for Families in Oil Producing Communities of the Niger Delta Region.
- [14] Henner, P., Schiavon, M., Druelle, V., & Lichtfouse, E. (1999). Phytotoxicity of ancient gaswork soils. Effect of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) on plant germination. *Organic Geochemistry*.
- [15] Jackson, M. L. (1962). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall, New York.
- [16] Korade, D. L., & Fulekar, M. H. (2009). Effect of organic contaminants on seed germination of *Lolium multiflorum* in soil. *Biology and Medicine (Bio. Med.)*.
- [17] Malallah, G., Afzal, M., Kurian, M., Gulshan, S., & Dhimi, M. S. I. (1998). Impact of oil pollution on some desert plants.
- [18] Palinkas, L. A., Petterson, J. S., Russell, J., & Downs, M. A. (1993). Social, cultural, and psychological impacts of the Exxon Valdez oil spill. *Human Organization*, 52(1), 1-13.
- [19] Osofsky, H. J., Osofsky, J. D., Kronk, M. E., & Hansel, T. C. (2014). The Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill and the Mississippi Gulf Coast. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 8(2), 157-161.
- [20] Cope, M. R., Slack, T., Blanchard, T. C., Lee, L. C., & Ayyaswamy, S. (2016). The lingering mental health impacts of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on the Gulf Coast. *Disasters*, 40(1), 101-118.
- [21] Gill, D. A., Picou, J. S., & Ritchie, L. A. (2012). The Exxon Valdez and BP oil spills: A comparison of initial social and psychological impacts. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 56(1), 3-23.
- [22] Kwok, R. K., McGrath, J. A., Lowe, S. R., & Engel, L. S. (2017). The Deepwater Horizon oil spill through the lens of human health and the ecosystem. *Current Environmental Health Reports*, 4(3), 390-398.
- [23] Rung, A. L., Gaston, S., Oral, E., Robinson, W. T., & Fonham, E. (2015). Mental health impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill among wives of clean-up workers. *Epidemiology*, 26(4), e45-e47.
- [24] Abramson, D. B., Stehling-Ariza, T., Garfield, R., Redlener, I., & Tanielian, T. (2010). Impact on children and families of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill: Preliminary findings of the Coastal Population
- [25] Impact Study. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 4(4), 273-283.
- [26] Grattan, L. M., Roberts, S., Mahan, W. T., McLaughlin, P. K., Otwell, W. S., & Morris, J. G. (2011).
- [27] The early psychological impacts of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on Florida and Alabama communities. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119(6), 838-843.

- [28] Osofsky, H. J., Hansel, T. C., Osofsky, J. D., & Speier, A. (2011). The impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on the mental health of child and adolescent residents of the Gulf Coast. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 5(4), 280-286.
- [29] Abramson, D. M., Grattan, L. M., Mayer, B., Colten, C. E., Arosemena, F. A., Bedimo-Rung, A.,... & Lichtveld, M. (2015). The resilience activation framework: A conceptual model of how access to social resources promotes adaptation and rapid recovery in post-disaster settings. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 42(1), 42-57.
- [30] Marmiroli, N., & McCutcheon, S. C. (2003). *Phytoremediation: A successful technology*. Phytoremediation. Transformation and Control of Contaminants. John Wiley, Hoboken.
- [31] Ogbo, E. M. (2009). Effects of diesel fuel contamination on seed germination of four crop plants - *Arachis hypogaea*, *Vigna unguiculata*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Zea mays*. *Biotechnology*.
- [32] Oujii, L. C., Adesiyan, S. O., Obuite, G. C. (2004). Post Impact Assessment of Oil pollution in Agbada West plain of Niger Delta, Delta State.
- [33] Oyem, A. (2001). Christian Call for Action in Nigeria Oil Spill (Sage-Oxford's Christian Environment Group).
- [34] Vavrek, M. C., & Campbell, W. J. (2002). Contribution of seed banks to freshwater wetland vegetation recovery. Louisiana Applied and Educational Oil Spill Research and Development Program, OSRADP.