

# Proximate and Mineral Quality Changes in Fillets of Three Fish Species (*Mugil cephalus*, *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* and *Oreochromis niloticus*) at Frozen Storage (Sub 0°C)

Afamdi Anene<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ogan I. Mba<sup>2</sup>, Olivia C. Afam-Anene<sup>3</sup>, Ezinne Nwanguma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Animal Nutrition Laboratory, Department of Animal Science and Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture, Abia State University, Umuahia Campus, Umuahia Abia State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Abia State University, Umuahia Campus, Umuahia Abia State, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Health Science, Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

## Email address:

afamanene31@gmail.com (A. Anene)

## To cite this article:

Afamdi Anene, Ogan I. Mba, Olivia CAfam Anene, Ezinne Nwanguma. Proximate and Mineral Quality Changes in Fillets of Three Fish Species (*Mugil cephalus*, *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* and *Oreochromis niloticus*) at Frozen Storage (Sub 0°C). *International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*. Vol. 4, No. 3, 2015, pp. 402-408. doi: 10.11648/j.ijnfs.20150403.29

**Abstract:** The proximate and mineral composition of fillets from two brackish water fish species (*Mugil cephalus* and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus*) obtained from the wild were compared with fillets from farmed fresh water fish species (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed commercial diet. The study also investigated the effect of frozen storage on the proximate and mineral composition of the fillets of these fishes. Analysis was carried before and after frozen storage using standard methods recommended by AOAC. Comparisons among sample means were made by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 5% confidence level. The mean ash content in brackish water fish species was  $1.71 \pm 0.03\%$  and  $0.86 \pm 0.02\%$  in fresh water fish species. Similarly, dry matter content was  $23.84 \pm 0.05\%$  in brackish water fish species and  $8.81 \pm 0.24\%$  in fresh water fish species. The concentration of calcium ranged from  $70.14 \pm 2.30$  in brackish water fish species to  $94.86 \pm 0.43$  mg/100g in fresh water species, while the level of magnesium was  $34.80 \pm 1.30$  in brackish water species and  $32.00 \pm 2.30$  mg/100g in fresh water species. The level of potassium in brackish water fishes was  $254.66 \pm 0.04$  and  $150.06 \pm 0.40$  mg/100g in fresh water species. The concentration of sodium was  $141.20 \pm 57$  mg/100g in brackish water fish species and  $57.20 \pm 0.20$  mg/100g in fresh water fish species, concentration of phosphorus was  $150.93 \pm 0.31$  in brackish water fish species and  $94.67 \pm 0.43$  mg/100g in fresh water fish species. The percentage protein in *Mugil cephalus* was  $36.14 \pm 0.39\%$  before freezing and  $36.05 \pm 1.81\%$  after freezing while in *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* it was  $36.85 \pm 0.20\%$  in fresh samples and  $36.63 \pm 0.20\%$  after frozen storage. The protein content in *O. niloticus* varied between  $41.50 \pm 0.40\%$  before and  $41.42 \pm 0.40\%$  after frozen storage. The concentration of sodium in fillets of *M. cephalus* varied from  $142.67 \pm 0.24$  mg/100g before storage to  $140.33 \pm 0.11$  mg/100g after storage. The mean concentration of sodium in the fillets of *C. nigrodigitatus* before freezing was  $139.73 \pm 0.90$  mg/100g and  $136.67 \pm 0.23$  mg/100g after freezing. The concentration of sodium in *O. niloticus* was  $57.20 \pm 0.24$  mg/100g before and  $56.07 \pm 0.11$  mg/100g after freezing. In conclusion, all the fish under study belonged to high protein low fat category. Under the experimental conditions, frozen can be effectively used to preserve protein, dry matter, sodium, magnesium and potassium.

**Keywords:** Nutritional, Proximate, Quality, Brackish, Fresh Water, Fish Species

## 1. Introduction

Fish is an important component in human diet because it is a rich source of animal protein (1). Fish and fish products are consumed as food all over the world. It has been shown that fish products have high nutritional value based on studies from fin fishes (2, 3, 4). In Nigeria, fish constitute 40% of protein intake (5) where per capita fish consumption has been

estimated at 8.8kg/caput/annum (6). According to FAO (7), Nigeria a major importer of fish in the world produced as much as 43, 950 and 84 578 metric tonnes of fish in 2004 and 2006 respectively. Post-production losses in Nigeria have been estimated at 30-40% (8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13). In Nigeria, freezing is one of the most common preservation

methods for all food materials including fish. Freezing is known to prevent microbial spoilage, minimize chemical deterioration which mainly involves lipid oxidation and protein denaturation. Freezing greatly reduces or halts the biochemical reactions in fish flesh, freezing does not improve the quality of fish, at best the technique maintains the fish in much the same condition as it was before storage. The objectives of this study were,

- To evaluate the proximate and nutritional quality of two species of fish from brackish water environment and one species of fresh water fish species and to determine if there are any environmentally induced differences in quality.
- To access possible changes in the nutritional quality of fish stored under frozen conditions for thirty days.

## 2. Materials and Methods

A total of 75 fish samples each of *Mugil cephalus* (with a mean wet body weight of 158.15±15.35g and mean total length 26±1.17cm) and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (with a mean wet body weight of 185.15±12.39g and mean total length 27±1.18cm) were used for the study. These fishes were obtained from artisan fishers in Bonny River, Rivers State, Nigeria a brackish water environment. Seventy five (75) samples of *Oreochromis niloticus* (with mean weight of 195.65±18.75g and mean total length of 23±2.32cm) was obtained from a local fish farm in Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria. All samples were collected in the first week of June 2013.

In each case, the fishes were transported in ice packs to the Central laboratory of National Root Crop Research Institute, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. Before analysis, samples were thawed at room temperature of 25-28°C.

### 2.1. Sample Preparation

In the laboratory, fish samples were weighed to the nearest 0.1gm on a Metler balance. Their total length (to the nearest 0.1mm) was also obtained from a measuring board. The fish samples were cleaned, de-scaled, eviscerated and cut length-wise along the vertebral column. The head, bones and visceral parts were discarded. To obtain fish fillets, each fish was cut along its full length. Each of the three fish species was replicated four times to yield a total of 12 samples. All samples (each weighing 300± 2.35gms) were blended into a smooth paste in a 3.8 L kitchen-type blender (Warning Products, New Hartford, CT) which was thoroughly cleaned and dried between samples.

### 2.2. Nutritional Evaluation

Fresh blends of fish paste were analyzed for proximate composition. Moisture, crude protein, crude lipid and ash contents were determined according to AOAC (14).

The major elements, comprising calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and trace elements (iron and zinc) were determined according to the method of James (15) and

Shahidi *et al.* (16).

### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

The results are presented as the mean ± SD (standard deviation). All data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the software of the SPSS 11.0 for Windows. Student's t-test was performed to separate differences among means. The differences are considered significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## 3. Results and Discussion

Nutritional composition of brackish and fresh water fish species: The nutritional composition of fish obtained from both brackish water species (*M. cephalus* and *C. nigrodigitatus*) and fresh water species (*O. niloticus*) is presented on Table 1.

**Table 1.** Nutritional Composition of brackish water fish (*M. cephalus* and *C. nigrodigitatus*) and fresh water fish (*O. niloticus*).

Parameters (%)	Aquatic Habitats	
	Brackish Water Species	Freshwater Species
Ash	1.71 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.03	0.86 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.02
Protein	20.47 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.29	23.50 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.01
Moisture Content	66.16 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.06	70.13 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.22
Dry Matter	9.84 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.05	4.81 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.24
Fat	1.82 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.08	0.72 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.06

Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with different superscripts along the same row are significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

The ash content of brackish water fish was 1.71±0.03 and 0.86±0.02 for fresh water fish. Dry matter content was 9.84±0.05 for brackish water fish species and 4.81±0.24 fresh water fish species. Table 1 shows that ash content and dry matter levels in brackish water fish species were significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than fresh water fish species. Brackish water systems characteristically have a higher concentration of minerals than fresh water systems and this may account for the significantly higher ash content in fish from brackish water systems. Ikeme, (17); Suleiman and Abdullahi, (18), Mba *et. al* (19) and Olagunju *et al.*, (20) had similarly observed that habitat and species differences are some of the factors that account for the difference in ash content.

Table 1 shows that protein content was 20.47±0.29% in brackish water fish species and 23.50±0.01% in fresh water fish species, moisture was 66.16±0.06% in brackish water and 70.13±0.02% in fresh water fish species and fat content varied between 1.82±0.08% in brackish water fish species and 0.72±0.06% in fresh water fish species. Significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) levels of protein, fat and moisture were recorded in fresh water fish species. Protein content in the three species of fish here studied were relatively higher than was recorded for different species in earlier reports (21, 22, 23, 24) but however it is comparable with records of protein content in *Clarias gariepinus* (25). Two reasons may account for the observed higher protein content. Firstly fish species in

this study may have benefitted from a higher nutritional status of the environment, a pre-requisite for increased protein content in fish fillets. Secondly, protein content has been shown to vary with species (19). The significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) fat content in *O. niloticus*, a farmed fresh water fish in comparison with brackish water fish species is in agreement with earlier findings in which cultivated catfish had five times as much as wild catfish (26). However, Alam et al., (27) observed that wild species of *Labeo rohita* were richer in fat than farmed counterparts. Fat content may also be influenced by low energy utilization, limited tendency to migration for foraging for food and spawning as was the case in farmed *O. niloticus* in this study.

**Table 2.** Concentration of minerals in fillets of fish from both fresh water and brackish water.

Parameter (mg/100g)	Aquatic Habitats	
	Brackish Water	Freshwater
Calcium	70.14 <sup>a</sup> ±2.30	94.86 <sup>b</sup> ±0.43
Magnesium	34.80 <sup>a</sup> ±1.30	32.00 <sup>b</sup> ±2.30
Potassium	254.66 <sup>a</sup> ±0.40	150.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.40
Sodium	141.20 <sup>a</sup> ±0.57	57.20 <sup>b</sup> ±0.2
Phosphorus	150.93 <sup>a</sup> ±0.31	94.67 <sup>a</sup> ±0.43

Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with different superscripts along the same row are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Mineral content of brackish and fresh water fish species: The mineral composition of brackish and fresh water fish is presented in Table 2

The concentration of calcium ranged from 70.14±2.30 in brackish water fish species to 94.86±0.43 mg/100g in fresh water species, while the level of magnesium was 34.80±1.30 in brackish water species and 32.00±2.30 mg/100g in fresh water species. The level of potassium in brackish water fishes was 254.66±0.04 and 150.06±0.40 mg/100g in fresh water species. The concentration of sodium was 141.20±57 mg/100g in brackish water species and 57.20±0.20 mg/100g in fresh water fish, concentration for phosphorus was 150.93±0.31 to 94.67±0.43 mg/100g. The calcium level in fresh water species under study compare favorably with those of pond raised catfish (28). The concentration of calcium in fresh water fish was significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) than in brackish water fish and was similarly observed by Robinson et. al. (29). Brackish water fish species contained significantly higher ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) concentrations of magnesium, potassium, sodium and phosphorus and this may be due to the relatively higher mineral content in brackish water environments. The results indicate that these species of fish are good sources of macro-minerals for man.

Proximate quality of frozen and unfrozen fish fillets: The nutrient composition of *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, *O. niloticus* before and after storage is presented in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Nutritional composition of *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus* *O. niloticus* before and after storage.

Parameters (mean±SD) (%)	<i>M. cephalus</i>			<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i>			<i>O. niloticus</i>		
	Before storage	After storage	LSD	Before storage	After storage	LSD	Before storage	After storage	LSD
Ash	3.51 <sup>a</sup> ±0.001	1.28 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02	0.03	1.57 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.05	1.01 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.02	0.05	3.83 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.02	2.81 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.01	0.03
Protein	20.14 <sup>a</sup> ±0.39	19.17 <sup>a</sup> ±1.81	0	20.85 <sup>a</sup> ±0.20	20.47 <sup>a</sup> ±0.20	0.01	23.50 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06	23.41 <sup>a</sup> ±0.40	0.02
Fat	3.41 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.03	1.71 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.11	0.35	2.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.03	1.25 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.28	0.04	2.75 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.01	1.42 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.09	0.22
Moisture	63.29 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	68.33 <sup>b</sup> ±0.12	0.31	66.03 <sup>a</sup> ±0.12	68.21 <sup>b</sup> ±0.09	0.4	68.13 <sup>a</sup> ±0.22	71.30 <sup>b</sup> ±0.12	0.25
Dry Matter	9.65 <sup>a</sup> ±0.23	9.53 <sup>a</sup> ±0.77	0.78	9.25 <sup>a</sup> ±0.88	9.96 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21	0.03	1.82 <sup>a</sup> ±0.97	1.06 <sup>a</sup> ±1.26	0.88

Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with different superscripts along the same row are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). SD is Standard deviation.

Ash content in *M. cephalus* was 3.51±0.001% before storage and 1.28±0.02% after freezing. In *C. nigrodigitatus* ash content was 1.57± 0.05% before and 1.01±0.02% after storage. Ash content in *O. niloticus* was 3.83±0.02% before storage and 2.81±0.01% after storage. These values indicate a significant decline ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in ash content during storage and this may result from the leaching of ash content during thawing. The percentage protein in *M. cephalus* was 20.14±0.39% before freezing and 19.71±1.81% after freezing while in *C. nigrodigitatus* it was 20.85±0.20% in fresh samples and 20.47±0.20% after frozen storage. The protein content in *O. niloticus* varied between 23.53±0.40% before and 23.41±0.40% after frozen storage. These data show that protein levels were not significantly reduced ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) after frozen storage. On the contrary, several workers have observed that protein decreased with frozen

storage (30, and 31). Reduction in percentage protein is explained by denaturation and aggregation (32, 33). In this study, it is possible that the temperature in which the fish was stored was effective in preserving protein over the time frame. Possibly too, the duration of the study was not sufficient to agitate a decline in protein content. Sharaf (34) reported that significant decline in protein content of Tilapia muscles occurred after eight weeks of frozen storage.

Fat content in *M. cephalus* before freezing was 3.41±0.03% and 1.71±0.11% after freezing. In fillets of *C. nigrodigitatus*, fat levels decreased significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from 2.33±0.03% before to 1.25±0.28% after frozen storage. Similarly, fat levels in fillets of *O. niloticus* significantly declined ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from 2.75±0.01% before to 1.42±0.09% after frozen storage. In all the fish species under study, there was significant depression ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in fat content with frozen storage. Omotosho and Olu

(30) similarly observed that in all the species studied, the concentration of fat decreased after each succeeding period of frozen storage. Thus the observed reduction in fat content in this study appears to be associated with oxidation of the fat (35, 36). Many lipid degradation processes and products capable of either denaturing protein or cross-linking polypeptides that can aggregate into insoluble proteins (37). The later may have contributed to the relatively stable protein content in the fishes under the conditions of the study.

Percentage moisture in *M. cephalus* was  $63.29 \pm 0.01\%$  and  $68.33 \pm 0.12\%$  before and after frozen storage respectively. In *C. nigrodigitatus* this variable was  $66.03 \pm 0.12\%$  before and  $68.21 \pm 0.09\%$  after frozen storage. Percentage moisture in *O. niloticus* was  $68.13 \pm 0.22\%$  before frozen storage and  $71.30 \pm 0.12\%$  after that. These data indicate significant increases ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in percentage moisture in the three species of fish under study and is in compliance with observations made by Omotosho and Olu (30). In contrast however, Ruff *et al.* (38), Keyvan *et al.*, (39) have shown that fillets subjected to frozen storage had lower moisture content compared with fresh fillets. Drip loss during the thawing process might be the reason for the decrease in moisture levels after frozen storage (40). Protein denaturation during frozen storage can cause loss of water-holding capacity in thawed fillets (41). In this study, protein levels did not depreciate over the study period and this is an indication that protein was not denatured under the study conditions and as such the fillets were able to sustain their moisture holding capacity.

It is worthy to note that in all the species under study, percentage fat in fillets depreciated as the moisture levels appreciated. This observation is in agreement with studies on salmonid fishes where fat and moisture levels have been shown to be inversely related (37).

Mineral content of frozen and unfrozen fish fillets: The mineral concentration in fillets of *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus* and *O. niloticus* before and after storage is presented in Table 4. The mineral compositions in all three species of fish were comparable to values reported by Nettleton *et al.* (42). The concentration of sodium in fillets of *M. cephalus* varied from  $142.67 \pm 0.24 \text{ mg/100g}$  before storage to  $140.33 \pm 0.11 \text{ mg/100g}$  after storage. The mean concentration of sodium in the fillets of *C. nigrodigitatus* before freezing was  $139.73 \pm 0.90 \text{ mg/100g}$  and  $136.67 \pm 0.23 \text{ mg/100g}$  after freezing. The concentration of sodium in *O. niloticus* was  $57.20 \pm 0.24 \text{ mg/100g}$  before and  $56.07 \pm 0.11 \text{ mg/100g}$  after freezing. These results show that there is significant decline ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the sodium content of fish after storage.

Phosphorous concentration in the fish fillets decreased significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) during the study period and study conditions. The concentration of this mineral in *M. cephalus* was  $185.70 \pm 0.40 \text{ mg/100g}$  before and

$174.75 \pm 0.25 \text{ mg/100g}$  after frozen storage and in *C. nigrodigitatus* it was  $116.17 \pm 0.23 \text{ mg/100g}$  before and  $108.42 \pm 0.23 \text{ mg/100g}$  after frozen storage. In fillets of *O. niloticus*, the concentration of phosphorous decreased significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from  $94.67 \pm 0.43 \text{ mg/100g}$  to  $88.68 \pm 0.46 \text{ mg/100g}$ .

Calcium in the tissue of *M. cephalus* was  $65.46 \pm 2.30 \text{ mg/100g}$  before storage and  $62.79 \pm 2.30 \text{ mg/100g}$  after freezing. The level of calcium in the flesh of *C. nigrodigitatus* was  $74.82 \pm 2.30 \text{ mg/100g}$  and  $69.47 \pm 4.50 \text{ mg/100g}$  before and after storage respectively. In fillets of *O. niloticus*, calcium concentration was  $94.86 \pm 2.30 \text{ mg/100g}$  before storage and  $96.19 \pm 2.20 \text{ mg/100g}$  after storage. These results do not indicate any significant decreases ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in the level of calcium in fish flesh during storage. Freeze storage however did not similarly impact on the level of potassium in the flesh of fishes under study conditions.

Magnesium content in flesh of *M. cephalus* was  $34.40 \pm 1.30$  (before storage) and  $35.20 \pm 1.30$  (after storage). In the flesh of *C. nigrodigitatus*, the concentration of magnesium was  $35.20 \pm 1.30$  and  $34.40 \pm 1.30$  before and after storage respectively. This variable was  $32.00 \pm 1.30$  (before storage)  $31.20 \pm 2.40$  (after storage) in the flesh of *O. niloticus*. These results also imply that freezing at sub 0°C for 30 days did not diminish the level of magnesium in flesh of *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus* and *O. niloticus*. Magnesium plays an important role in cellular metabolism especially in binding to ligands such as Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) in ATP-requiring enzymes.

The concentration of potassium was  $248.13 \pm 4.2 \text{ mg/100g}$  (before storage) and  $247.60 \pm 3.40$  (after storage) in fillets of *M. cephalus*,  $261.20 \pm 1.30 \text{ mg/100g}$  and  $259.47 \pm 8.46 \text{ mg/100g}$  before and after storage respectively in *C. nigrodigitatus* and  $150.00 \pm 3.40 \text{ mg/100g}$  (before storage)  $149.20 \pm 2.40$  (after storage) in the *O. niloticus*.

All the studied fish species contained an appreciable amount of sodium, phosphorous, calcium, magnesium and potassium that are capable of meeting the nutritional requirements of human beings. The recommended daily allowance (RDA) for magnesium (and other metals) is  $440.0 \text{ mg}$  per day (43, 44). These results also imply that freezing at sub 0°C for 30 days did not diminished the level of sodium and phosphorous but the levels of calcium, magnesium and potassium in flesh of *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus* and *O. niloticus* were diminished. These elements are required at various doses (Table 4) for the many metabolic processes that occur in humans. Potassium was observed to dominate other minerals in both the brackish water fishes (*M. cephalus* and *C. nigrodigitatus*) and in fresh water fish species (*O. niloticus*). This observation was supported by the findings of Adeniyi *et al.* (45).

**Table 4.** Minerals in fillets of brackish water fish species *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus* and *O. niloticus* before and after storage.

Minerals (mg/100g)	<i>M. cephalus</i>			<i>C. nigrodigitatus</i>			<i>O. niloticus</i>			Recommended Daily Intake (FAO/WHO, 43, Lentech, 44)
	Before storage	After storage	LSD	Before storage	After storage	LSD	Before storage	After storage	LSD	
Sodium	142.67 <sup>a</sup> ±0.24	140.33 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11	0.29	139.73 <sup>a</sup> ±0.90	136.67 <sup>b</sup> ±0.23	2.07	57.20 <sup>a</sup> ±0.24	56.07 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11	0.76	2400-2500
Phosphorus	185.70 <sup>a</sup> ±0.40	174.75 <sup>b</sup> ±0.25	1.19	116.17 <sup>a</sup> ±0.23	108.42 <sup>b</sup> ±0.23	0.02	94.67 <sup>a</sup> ±0.43	88.68 <sup>b</sup> ±.46	2.14	1000-2000
Calcium	65.46 <sup>a</sup> ±2.30	62.79 <sup>a</sup> ±2.30	0.00	74.82 <sup>a</sup> ±2.30	69.47 <sup>a</sup> ±4.50	0.00	94.86 <sup>a</sup> ±2.30	96.19 <sup>a</sup> ±2.20	0.00	1000
Magnesium	34.40 <sup>a</sup> ±1.30	35.20 <sup>a</sup> ±1.30	0.00	35.20 <sup>a</sup> ±1.30	34.40 <sup>a</sup> ±1.30	0.00	32.00 <sup>a</sup> ±1.30	31.20 <sup>a</sup> ±2.40	0.00	350
Potassium	248.13 <sup>a</sup> ±4.20	247.60 <sup>a</sup> ±3.40	0.00	261.20 <sup>a</sup> ±6.60	259.47 <sup>a</sup> ±8.46	0.00	150.00 <sup>a</sup> ±3.40	149.20 <sup>a</sup> ±5.40	0.00	3500

Values are means of triplicate determinations. Means with different superscripts along the same row are significantly different (p≤ 0.05).

## 4. Conclusion

The species investigated in the present study: *M. cephalus*, *C. nigrodigitatus*, and *O. niloticus* are popular table fishes in Nigeria. Proteins, fats, ash and moisture which were considered in evaluating the nutritional value of the species studied. These fish species were also assessed for the level of magnesium, potassium, sodium and phosphorus in their fillets. The nutritional elements showed variable values in the species analyzed; with moisture recording the highest values and lipid recording the lowest. All the fish species that were studied belonged to high-protein (18-23%) low-oil (<5%) category. Ash content and dry matter levels in brackish water fish species were significantly higher than fresh water fish species while significantly higher levels of protein, fat and moisture were recorded in fresh water fish species. Magnesium, potassium, sodium and phosphorus were recorded at various levels in the species that were studied. The concentrations of magnesium, potassium, sodium were relatively higher in brackish water fish species while calcium level was higher in fresh water fish species. Observed variations in proximate composition and mineral content of studied fish species may result from habitat and species differences, seasonal and biological differences (size, age and sexual maturity), food source and environment (water chemistry, salinity, temperature and contaminants). Frozen storage minimized the level of ash, fat, moisture, sodium and phosphorous over a period of thirty days. In this time frame and experimental condition the level of protein, dry matter, sodium, magnesium and potassium did not decline.

## References

- [1] Sinha and Ramchandran, 1985. "Fresh water fish culture." Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Dehhi, pp: 33-74.
- [2] Afolabi, O. A., Akin, S. M. A. and Oke, O. L. 1984. "Quality changes in traditionally processed fresh water fish species." Journal of Food Science and Technology (19); 341-348.
- [3] Futuroti, E. O and Aransiola, M. O. 1984. "Biochemical evaluation of the nutritive value of differently processed fish (*Heterotis*)." Nutrition Reports International 30: 221-229.
- [4] Futuroti, E.O and Gnackadja, G. 1985. "Chemical and Nutritional changes in sun dried and smoked Aletes (*Aletess macrolopicatus*)." Nutritional Reports International 31 (3): pp 669-676.
- [5] Olatunde A. A. 1989. "Approaches to the study of Fisheries Biology in Nigerian Inland Waters." Proceedings of National Conference of two Decades of Research on Lake Kainji (Ayemi and Olatunde Eds).1538-1541.
- [6] Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) 2002. "An overview of the world fishing industry." Internet on-line. <http://.fao.org/waicent/faoinfo/fishery/fishery.htm>.
- [7] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 2008. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture (SOFIA). Fisheries and Aquaculture Department.
- [8] Eyo, A. A. and Mdaihi, M. 1997. "Studies on Post-harvest losses in Kainji Lake Fishery, Nigeria." Abetellung 424 Walk-Viehwirtschaft Fischerei, Naturschutz. Vieh & Fisch. Eschborn 1997.
- [9] Dada B. F and Gnanados D. A. S. 1983. "Nigerian Fisheries Development: Challenges and Opportunities of the 1980's." In the proceedings of the 3rd Annual Conference of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON) Maiduguri 22nd- 25th February 1983 pp. 14 24
- [10] Tobor, T. G. 1984. "The Fisheries Industry in Nigeria Status of Fish preservation methods and future growth pre-requisites to cope with anticipated production." In: Proceedings of the symposium on Fisheries Development Sponsored by Almarine 31st August, 1984 pp. 85 105.
- [11] Eyo, A. A. 1989. "Artisanal fish handling, preservation and processing in Nigeria problems and prospects." In proceedings of the Conference of Two Decades of Research on Lake Kainji, Nigeria. Eds. Ayeni J. S. O and Olatunde A. A. pp. 167- 178.
- [12] Shimang, G. N. 1990. "Post-harvest Losses in Inland Fisheries in Nigeria with emphasis on Lake Chad and Lake Kainji." An FAO Symposium on Post-harvest Fish Technology. Cairo Egypt. 21 25 October 1990.
- [13] Azeza, N. I. 1997. "Fish handling processing and marketing in the lake Chad Basin (North South shores)." Proceedings of the Conference on the Handling, Processing and Marketing of Tropical Fish. July 5-9 1976. Tropical Product Institute, UK, London, pp 348-352

- [14] AOAC. 2000. "Official Methods of Analysis" 17th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington Virginia pp 230-248
- [15] James, C. S. 1995. "Analytical Chemistry of Foods." Chapman and Hull, New York.
- [16] Shahidi, F., Chavan, U. D., Bal, A. K and McKenzie, D. B. 1999. "Chemical composition of Beach pea (*Lathyrus maritimus* L) plant parts." Food Chem., 64: 39-44.
- [17] Ikeme A. I. 1992. "Cooperative research Programme on Fish Technology in Africa." Proceedings of the symposium on Post Harvest Fish Technology. FAO Corporate Document Repository. 60-68.
- [18] Suleiman, B and Abdullahi, S. A. 2009. "Effects of local processing method (Kilishi) on nutrient profiles of *Heterotis niloticus* and *Hyperopisus bebe occidentalis* in Zaria." Journal of Aquatic Sciences 24(1):16-20.
- [19] Mba, O. I., Anene, A and Kalu O. S. 2010. "Comparative Evaluation of the chemical composition of fillets from two fresh water (*Alestes nurse* and *Oreochromis gallilaeus*) and two brackish water (*Scomberomorus tritor* and *Pseudotolithus elongatus*) fish species." Bioresearch Bulletin 4: 51-55.
- [20] Olagunju, A., Muhammad, A., Mada, S. B., Mohammed, A., Mohammed, H. A., Mahmoud, K. T. 2012. "Nutrient Composition of Tilapia zilli, *Hemisyndontis membranacea*, *Clupea harengus* and *Scomber scombrus* Consumed in Zaria." World J Life Sci. and Medical Research 2:16
- [21] Jacquot R. 1961. "Organic constituents of fish and other aquatic animal foods." In, Fish as Food. Bogstrom G. (Ed.) Academic Press New York. 154-209.
- [22] Eyo, A. A 2001. "Fish Processing Technology" In; The Tropics National Institute of Freshwater Fisheries Research (NIFFR) P. M. B. 6006. New Bussa Nigeria, pp 1-22.
- [23] Fagbenro, A. O., Akinbulumo, M. O., Adeparusi, E. O., and Raji, A. A. 2005. "Flesh yield, Waste Yield, Proximate and mineral composition of four (4) commercial West African Fresh Water Food Fish." Journal of Animal Veterinary Advances 4(10): pp 848-851.
- [24] Adewumi, A. A., Adewole, H. A and Olaleye, V. F. 2014. "Proximate and elemental composition of the fillets of some fish species in Osinomo Reservoir, Nigeria." Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America. 5(3): 109-117.
- [25] Saliu J. K. 2008. "Effect of Smoking and Frozen Storage on the Nutrient Composition of Some African Fish." Adv. in Nat. Appl. Sci., 2(1): 16-20.
- [26] Nettleton, J., and Exler, J. 1992. "Nutrients in wild and farmed fish and shellfish." Journal of Food Science, 57, 257-260.
- [27] Alam, S., Khan, N., Nasir, M. Javid, A., Khan, T. A. Tayyab, V and Zikria, N (2012): Chemical and Sensory Quality Changes in Wild and Farmed Fish Flesh (*Labeo rohita*) At Frozen Storage (-18°C). The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences, 22(3): 2012, pp: 614-618
- [28] Allen, W. H. Jr., Klatt, L. V., Ratnayake, W. M. N., Ackman, R. G., Nettleton, J. A. 2009. "Nutrients and chemical residues in one- to two-pound Mississippi farm-raised channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)." Journal of Food Science, Vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 954-958, 2009.
- [29] Robinson, E. H; Li M. H and Oberie D. 1998. "Catfish vitamin nutrition." Bulletin 1078, Mississippi Agricultural and forestry Experiment station.
- [30] Omotosho J. S., Olu O. O. 1995. "The effect of food and frozen storage on the nutrient composition of some African fishes." Revue Biologique Tropicale. 43(1-3):289-95.
- [31] Arannilewa, S. T., Salawu, O. T., Sorungbe, A. A. and Olasalawu, B. B. 2005. Effect of freezing period on the chemical, microbial and sensory quality of frozen tilapia fish (*Sarotherodon galilaeus*). African Journal of Biotechnology 4(8):852-855.
- [32] Benjakul, S., Visessanguan, W., Thongkaew, C. and Tanaka, M. 2005. Effect of frozen storage on chemical and gel-forming properties of fish commonly used for surimi production in Thailand. Food Hydrocolloids 19, (2), 197-207.
- [33] Leelapongwattana, K., Benjakul, S. Visessanguan, W and Howell, N. K. 2005. Physicochemical and biochemical changes in whole lizardfish (*Saurida micropectoralis*) muscles and fillets during frozen storage. Journal of Food Biochemistry 29 (2005) 547-569.
- [34] Sharaf, M. M. 2013. "Influence of domestic freezing on the biochemical composition and mineral contents of fish muscles." Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci., 5(1):11- 16.
- [35] Sikorski Z., Olley J., Kostuck S. 1976. "Protein changes in frozen fish." CRC Crit. Rev Food Sci. Nutr. 1, 97.
- [36] Shearer, K. O. 1994. "Factors affecting the proximate composition of cultural fishes with emphasis salmonids." Aquaculture 119; 63-88
- [37] Buttkus, H. (1970): Accelerated denaturation of of myosin in frozen solution. Journal of food Science, 35: 558-562.
- [38] Ruff, N., FitzGerald R. D., Cross, T. F, Kerry, J. P. 2003. "Shelf-life evaluation of modified atmosphere and vacuum package fillets of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*), following dietary tocopheryl acetate supplementation." J Aqua Food Prod Technol 12(4):23-37.
- [39] Keyvan, A. S., Moini, N., Ghaemi, A. A., Haghdoost, S. Jalili and Pourkabir, M. 2008. "Effect of frozen storage time on the lipid deterioration and protein denaturation during Caspian Sea white fish (*Rutilus frisikutum*)." Journal Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 3: 404-409.
- [40] Beklevük, G.; Polat, A and Zoüul, F. 2005. "Nutritional Value of Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) Fillets during Frozen (-180C) Storage." Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science 29: 891-895.
- [41] Shenouda S Y. K. 1980. "Theories of protein denaturation during frozen storage of fish flesh." Adv Food Res 26:275-311.
- [42] Nettleton, J. A., Allen, Jr., Watt, I. V. W, Ratrayake, M. N. and Ackman, R. G. 1990. "Nutrients and chemical residues in one to two Pond Mississippi farm raised channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*)." Journal of Food Science 55; 954-958
- [43] FAO/WHO. 2001. Human vitamin and mineral requirement. Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation, Bankok, Thailand. Pp 286
- [44] Lentech (1998-2015): Recommended daily intake of vitamins and minerals. <http://www.lenntech.com/recommended-daily-intake.htm>.

- [45] Adeniyi, S. A., Orjiekwe, C. L., Ehiagbonare, J. E. and Josiah, S. J. 2012. "Nutritional Composition of Three Different Fishes (*Clarias gariepinus*, *Malapterurus electricus* and *Tilapia guineensis*)." Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 11 (9): 793-797.